

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 10/658,657 Jack W. Shirlin KLAI 0101 PUS 09/09/2003 2010 **EXAMINER** 22045 7590 06/18/2004 BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C. FASTOVSKY, LEONID M 1000 TOWN CENTER **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER TWENTY-SECOND FLOOR SOUTHFIELD, MI 48075 3742

DATE MAILED: 06/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.                                   | Applicant(s)                      |
|--|---|-----------------------------------|
| Office Action Summary  | 10/658,657  | SHIRLIN ET AL.                    |
|  | Examiner  | Art Unit                          |
|  | Leonid M Fastovsky                                | 3742                              |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply   |   |                                   |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |                                   |
| Status   |   |                                   |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 September 2003</u> .   |   |                                   |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.  |   |                                   |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |   |                                   |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |                                   |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |                                   |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the application.  |   |                                   |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |   |                                   |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |                                   |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are rejected.  |   |                                   |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |   |                                   |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  |   |                                   |
| Application Papers   |   |                                   |
| 9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examir   | ner.  |                                   |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 September 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.   |   |                                   |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |   |                                   |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |   |                                   |
| 11)∐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |   |                                   |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |                                   |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  |   |                                   |
| <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> </ol>   |   |                                   |
| <ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>   |   |                                   |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |   |                                   |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |   |                                   |
|  |   |                                   |
| Attachment(s)  |   |                                   |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) Interview Summary (                            |                                   |
| <ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08</li> </ul>   | Paper No(s)/Mail Dail 3) S) Notice of Informal Pa | te<br>atent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>20031110</u> .  | 6) Other:   | (                                 |

Application/Control Number: 10/658,657

Art Unit: 3742

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

 The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains extraneous words such as "invention provides". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grise (4,774,397) in view of Oberle (6,194,692) and further in view of Krohn (6,716893).

Grise teaches a heater 110 adhered to a flexible substrate 112 in a pattern having one or more grid lines 118 (Fig. 3-5), the pattern comprises a first bus bar and a second bus bar 114 wherein one or more grid lines 118 extend between and are in electrical contact with the first bus bar and the second bus bar 114. However, Grise does not teach a photocurable composition applied to the substrate and illuminated by light. Oberle teaches an electric heating sheet 10 and method of making the same, comprising a heating element 16 and bus bars 18 formed of a cured conductive coating that may be effectuated by UV radiation (Col. 7, lines 9-25). Krohn teaches a photocurable composition comprising a

Application/Control Number: 10/658,657

Art Unit: 3742

photocurable organic mixture, an electrically conductive composition and a photoinitiator and method for making such a compositoion by UV light (Col. 1, lines 20-67) and having volatile organic compounds present in the amount from 0.1% to 6% (claims 1-21). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Grise invention to include a cured conductive coating effectuated by UV light in order to get a suitable amount of heat as taught by Oberle (col. 7, lines 30-35) and also include a photocurable composition and method of making in order to produce a ferromagnetic coating on a suitable substrate as taught by Krohn (Abstract, lines 1-4).

As for claims 8-11 and 30-33, Krohn discloses the same formula of an ethylenically unsaturated monomer used to make the same composition that is used to produce a ferromagnetic coating on the substrate (col. 5, lines 1-34).

#### **Conclusion**

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. 6483087 (fabric heater), 7613000 (photocurable silver composition), 4196338 (heater with bus bars and grid), 31411 (radiation curable inks), 5384238 (photocurable composition), 4999136 (UV curable conductive resin), 4443495 (heat curable conductive ink), 4809428 (thin film device).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonid M Fastovsky whose telephone number is 703-306-5482. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th. 8.00 am -6.00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/658,657

Art Unit: 3742

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 703-305-5766. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Leonid M Fastovsky

Examiner Art Unit 3742

**Imf**